Assessment of the Sensory and Moisturizing Properties of Emulsions with Hemp Oil

Małgorzata Kowalska, Magdalena Wozniak, Magdalena Pazdzior

Kazimierz Pulaski University of Technology and Humanities, Chrobrego Street 27, 26-600 Radom, Faculty of Material Science, Technology and Design, Poland, e-mail: mkowalska7@vp.pl, m.wozniak@uthrad.pl, m.pazdzior@uthrad.pl

Abstract: The aims of this work were a sensory analysis, an evaluation of moistening properties and establishment of emulsions stability. Emulsions were prepared with two variable parameters (hemp oil content and time of homogenization). 15 respondents assessed emulsions sensory and skin moisturizing properties. Kleeman's optimizing method was used to designate the most stable emulsion system with the variable parameters. Taking into consideration all properties of the presented emulsions, emulsion V (50g of hemp oil and homogenized for 6 minutes) was found to be the optimum composition with the best moistening and sensory properties. After the application of this emulsion, the skin was highly moistened. Consistency of the emulsions was homogeneous and free from clotting. According to Kleeman's method, emulsion V was also confirmed as the most optimum variant of the emulsion. The work confirmed that hemp oil can be successfully used as a component for cosmetic emulsions.

Keywords: hemp oil; emulsions; sensory evaluation; moisturizing parameters; emulsions stability

1 Introduction

Emulsions constitute an important part in many fields of different industries, including the pharmaceutics, cosmetics, food and petrochemicals [1, 3, 12, 13]. In food industry emulsions can be used in low-calorie products, as a taste masking ingredients or improved sensory characteristics. In pharmaceutical industry – as a drug delivery systems, while in cosmetics – as a creams with encapsulated ingredients. [11, 19].

The prosperity of a cosmetic emulsion formulation depends among others on the stability, an efficiency of the active ingredients but highly important is also the consumer assent, which is definitely caused by the sensory characteristics of the product. Actually, the aims of the studies in cosmetic field are to make products

more innovative and successful, which will perfectly fulfill the sensory criteria of the customer. In general, customers choose a cosmetic emulsion for properties of active ingredients or effectiveness, but are mainly convinced by the positive feelings it brings to them, in particular in terms of texture. Therefore, sensory analysis dominates in the quality control of emulsions. This tool has rapidly been expanded and widely used to characterize and quantify texture properties of cosmetic dispersions [6, 14].

According to [15] sensory analysis is the evaluation of a product through the assessment of the properties perceptible by the following senses as taste, color, touch, texture, odor and noise, which results in receiving of the organoleptic profile of various products, for example cosmetics. Sensory evaluation interprets, measures and assess properties of a formulation, after stimulating users in connection to their main senses. So far, there is no instrumental methods, capable to estimate the human impressions, sensory analysis is a very effective method to receive data about a product in relation to consumer acceptance [4].

Vegetable oils, which contain unsaturated fatty acids, are frequently use in cosmetic dispersions to encourage customers and raise the sensory feelings. Hemp oil can be one of such oils and can also improve innovativeness of the cosmetic products. The attention directed to hemp for skin care is affected by great amount of oil in seeds of this plant (25-35%), but also a beneficial profile of unsaturated fatty acids consist of 50-60% linoleic acid (C18:2 ω 6), 20-25% α -linolenic acid (C18:3 ω 3) and a substantial portion of γ -linolenic acid (C18:3 ω 6). These three fatty acids constitute a structure of the cell membranes phospholipids. Moreover they have an effect on the immunology of the cells as well as on the several cell membrane functions such as the hormones activity, fluidity, or the transport of electrolytes [18, 20]. Linoleic and linolenic acids improve the skin structure and have a beneficial influence on dry and rough skin [20].

The aim of this work was to evaluate a sensory analysis and skin moisturizing properties of emulsions with hemp oil. To detect the most stable variant of emulsion, the optimization was performed using the software based on Kleeman's method [8]. The software indicated the variant with appropriate stability after only one measurement. It allows for reducing the time of the experiments and helps correctly design a stable dispersion.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

Emulsions were manufactured using the following components: distilled water, carboxymethylcellulose (Barentz Hoofddorp, Netherlands), cold pressed, unrefined (Gracefruit Ltd Stirlingshire, United Kingdom) (INCI: Cannabis Sativa Seed Oil) hemp oil containing the following fatty acids (FA): palmitic acid C16:0 – 5.7%, palmitoleic acid C16:1 – 0.15%, stearic acid C18:0 – 2.5%, oleic acid C18:1 9c – 11.4%, linoleic acid C18:2 9c12c – 55.4%, alpha linolenic acid C18:3 9c12c15c – 17.2%, gamma linolenic acid C18:3 6c9c12c – 3.6%, eicosenoic acid C20:0 – 0.6%, eicosenoic acid C20:1 – 0.4%, docosanoic acid C22:0 – 0.3%, tetracosanoic acid C24:0 – 0.2%, (Oleofarm company), sunflower lecithin (Lasenor, Emul, S.L. Barcelona, Spain) sodium benzoate (Orff Food Eastern Europe, Marki/Warsaw, Poland), aloe vera (FLP), Scottsdale, Arizona, United States, citric acid (Jungbunzlauer Basel, Switzerland).

2.2 Preparation of Emulsions

Lecithin (5.2%)was introduced to the oil phase (hemp Carboxymethylcellulose (0.6%) was dispersed in distilled water, and then, aloe vera (0.2%) was added. Oil and aqueous phases were heated to 50-55°C in a water bath separately. Homogenization of the both phases was achieved by means of a high shear mixer at an equal speed of 36288 RCF for a suitable time (given in Table 1). Afterwards, the emulsions were cooled to room temperature, and sodium benzoate (0.25%) was added. Next pH was adjusted to 5.5 with citric acid using a pH-meter (Mettler Toledo) equipped with a calomel combined pH electrode. The content of changing components was presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Changing components/parameter of emulsions given according Kleeman's method

	Component	EMULSION						
	[%w/w]/ Parameter	I	II	Ш	IV	V	VI	
Changing components	Water Hemp Oil	83.75 10	43.75 50	63.75 30	63.75 30	43.75 50	83.75 10	
/parameter	Mixing time [min]	1.5	1.5	3.0	4.5	6.0	6.0	

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Determination of Skin Capacitance

The determinations of skin capacitance were performed using a CM825 Corneometer (Courage+Khazaka Electronic). The principle of this method concerns the difference between capacitance of the dielectric constant of water and other substances. Each change of the dielectric constant subsequent to the modification of skin hydration results in an impaired calculated capacitance of a capacitor. The skin hydration degree values are in the range 0-130 arbitrary units (AU) [5].

In order to eliminate the influence of external conditions on the results, the measurements were performed under standard conditions (T° = 20-22°C, humidity 40-60%), away from direct sunlight. Women students of cosmetology or chemical technology (specialty: biotechnology and technology of cosmetics and household products) from the University of Technology and Humanities in Radom, Poland participated in those measurements.

The test included measurements carried out immediately prior to the application and after 15 min, 30 min, 45 min, 60 min, 90 min and 120 min after application of emulsion (approximately 0.01 g) on the designated forearm skin fragments. To have valid results, each measurement was taken three times. The region of the skin was wiped with a clean cotton cosmetic swab before and after the measurement. Variation in the time of the skin capacitance, as a difference between the values before and after application (as a mean value of 3 measurements for 15 respondents), were calculated by the following formula:

$$C = C_t - C_\theta \tag{1}$$

where C_0 is a skin capacitance prior to the application and C_t is a skin capacitance over time t.

2.3.2 Sensory Determination

Sensory evaluation is based on the measurement and assessment of the product properties and consumer feelings by the senses (smell, taste, touch, sight).

Testing of the emulsion was performed by a group of respondents (15 females). The respondents were trained and specifically instructed on the methodology. A 5-point scoring scale was introduced, with 5 the maximum and 1 the minimum score. Details of the sensory assessments are presented in Table 2. The analysis was carried out at room temperature of 20°C ($\pm2^{\circ}\text{C}$) and constant air humidity of 45% ($\pm5\%$). Correctness of the testing was supervised by a researcher from the University of Technology and Humanities in Radom.

Table 2
Guidelines for sensory analysis of the cosmetic emulsions tested (study based on literature (Płocica et al. 2012; Płocica and Tal-Figiel 2009) and own experience (Kowalska et al. 2015)

Formulation components are not dissolved. See Scoop 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion and rub between the thumb and index finger. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the forearm skin and observed its resistance to spreading. Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and observed its resistance to spreading. Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion and press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. Possible to paply yet flowing can be observed and to apply of the test say to apply, pet flowing can be observed and pall opply can be observed and to apply and to apply of the hand and analyze is cosmitted to apply to the hand and analyze is to apply to the hand and and assess viscosity. Somewhat to apply to the hand and and to apply to the hand and and assess viscosity. 5. Cosmetic is easy to apply, not flowing and be observed and to apply to the hand and and to apply to the hand and and assess viscosity. 5. Cosmetic is easy to apply, on flowing and be observed and to apply to the hand and and and and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and eaply and bely early to apply to the hand 2. Too thick to apply to the hand 2. Too thick to apply and to apply and boserous and and the substance and on the skin when applied and palpable clots and air bubbles in the substance and on the skin when applied and palpable clots and air bubbles in the substance and on the skin when applied and palpable clots and air bubbles are bubbles. 5. Imperce	Feature	Description of test procedure	Score (1–5)
substance there. Proceed to analyze its consistency by assessing the ability to keep the cosmetic adhering to the hand. H Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. Fresence of clots or air bubbles. Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms an uneven layer 3. Observable and palpable clots and air bubbles in the substance and on the skin when applied 2. Heterogeneous 1. Formulation components are not dissolved. Simperceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 5. Nor esistance to spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. Nor esistance to spreading 6. Nor esistance to spreading 7. Difficult to spread 8. Impossible to apply 1. Homogeneous, no clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 8. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles in the substance and palpable clots and air bubbles forms a uneven layer 8. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 8. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles forms a moven layer 8. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles forms a moven layer 8. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 8. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles forms a moven layer 8. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles forms a moven layer 8. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles forms a mover layer 9. Dismourber of the skin suman even layer 9. Dismourber of the skin substance 10. Heterogeneous 11. Impossible to spreading 12. Little resistance to spreading 13. Incomplete cover, good spreading 14. Little resistance to spreading 15. Very smooth,	С	e	5. Cosmetic is easy to apply, not flowing
analyze its consistency by assessing the ability to keep the cosmetic adhering to the hand. H Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. GE Scoop 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion and rub between the thumb and index finger. D Spread 0.5 cm3 of the preparation on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading. SM Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 3. Cosmetic is hard to apply to the hand 1. Impossible to apply to the hand 1. Impossible to apply to the hand 1. Impossible to apply 2. Completely homogeneous, no clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms an uneven layer 3. Observable and palpable clots and air bubbles in the substance and on the skin when applied and the substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 4. Little resistance to spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 3. The skin surface than of the reference standard 4. Smoother and softer skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface than of the reference standard 6. No palpable skin viscosity 6. Very smooth, soft skin surface than of the reference standard 6. No palpable skin viscosity 6. No palpable skin viscosity 7. No palpable skin viscosity 8. No palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity		*	4. Easy to apply yet flowing can be observed
assessing the ability to keep the cosmetic adhering to the hand. H Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. CE Scoop 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion and rub between the thumb and index finger. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the preparation on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 2. Too thick to apply to the hand 1. Impossible to apply 3. Completely homogeneous, no clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin outbash forms a mooth layer on the skin outbash forms a moot			3. Cosmetic is hard to apply
H Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. Spread the substance on your hand and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin 4. Homogeneous, no clots and few air bubbles, forms an uneven layer Spread the substance and on the skin when applied the substance and on the substance the spreading. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the preparation on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading and incomplete cover, good spreading the skin surface to spread the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness are not dissolved. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness are not dissolved. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness are not dissolved. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleane			2. Too thick to apply to the hand
and assess smoothness of its layer, presence of clots or air bubbles. The presence of clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin bubbles in the substance and on the skin when applied. The presence of clots or air bubbles, forms a smooth layer on the skin bubbles, forms an uneven layer The presence of clots and few air bubbles, forms an uneven layer The bubbles, forms a smooth layer of the substance and on the skin when applied The presence of a substance and on the skin when applied and palpable clots and air bubbles, forms an uneven layer The webstance and on the skin when applied and palpable clots and air bubbles, forms an uneven layer The substance and on the skin when applied and palpable clots and air bubbles, forms an uneven layer The webstance and on the skin when applied and on the skin when applied and the weakly perceptible substance The weakly perceptible			1. Impossible to apply
forms an uneven layer 3. Observable and palpable clots and air bubbles in the substance and on the skin when applied 2. Heterogeneous 1. Formulation components are not dissolved. CE Scoop 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion and rub between the thumb and index finger. 5. Imperceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 1. Highly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 3. Highly perceptible substance 4. Little resistance to spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. No resistance to spreading 6. Little resistance to spreading 7. Difficult to spread 8. Smoother and softer skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface 5. No palpable skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 6. No palpable skin viscosity 7. Increased skin viscosity 8. Low skin viscosity 8. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity	Н	and assess smoothness of its layer,	
the substance and on the skin when applied 2. Heterogeneous 1. Formulation components are not dissolved. CE Scoop 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion and rub between the thumb and index finger. 5. Imperceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 1. Highly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 5. No resistance to spreading 6. Little resistance to spreading 7. Little resistance to spreading 8. Little resistance to spreading 9. Difficult to spread 9. Difficult to spread 9. Very smooth, soft skin surface 9. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 9. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 9. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity 9. No palpable skin viscosity 9. No palpable skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity			6
CE Scoop 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion and rub between the thumb and index finger. 5. Imperceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 1. Highly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 5. No resistance to spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. No resistance to spreading 6. Little resistance to spreading 7. Difficult to spread 7. Impossible to spread 8. Very smooth, soft skin surface 8. Smoother and softer skin surface 8. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 9. No resistance to spreading 9. Difficult to spread 9. Very smooth, soft skin surface 9. No palpable skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity			
CE Scoop 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion and rub between the thumb and index finger. 5. Imperceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 1. Highly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 4. Weakly perceptible substance 5. No resistance to spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. No resistance to spreading 6. Little resistance to spreading 7. Difficult to spread 7. Impossible to spread 8. Very smooth, soft skin surface 8. Smoother and softer skin surface 8. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 9. No resistance to spreading 9. Difficult to spread 9. Very smooth, soft skin surface 9. No palpable skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity			2. Heterogeneous
and rub between the thumb and index finger. 4. Weakly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 1. Highly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 4. Little resistance to spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. No resistance to spreading 6. Little resistance to spreading 7. Little resistance to spreading 8. Impossible to spread 9. Very smooth, soft skin surface 9. Very smooth, soft skin surface 9. Weakly perceptible substance 9. Little resistance to spreading 9. Difficult to spread 9. Very smooth, soft skin surface 9. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 9. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 9. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin 9. Very rough skin 1. Very rough skin 9. No palpable skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity			2
index finger. 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 1. Highly perceptible substance 2. More perceptible substance 3. Somewhat perceptible substance 4. Little resistance to spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. No resistance to spreading 6. Little resistance to spreading 7. Difficult to spread 8. Impossible to spread 8. Weakly perceptible substance 8. No resistance to spreading 9. Difficult to spread 9. Very smooth, soft skin surface 9. Smoother and softer skin surface 9. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 9. Rough skin 9. Very rough skin 9. Very rough skin 9. No palpable skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity	CE	Scoop 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion	5. Imperceptible substance
Spread 0.5 cm3 of the preparation on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading. Spread 0.5 cm3 of the preparation on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading. SM Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. Somewhat perceptible substance 1. Highly perceptible substance 5. No resistance to spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 2. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 6. No palpable skin viscosity 7. Low skin viscosity 8. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 1. Increased skin viscosity			4. Weakly perceptible substance
D Spread 0.5 cm3 of the preparation on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading. SM Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 1. Highly perceptible substance 5. No resistance to spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 2. Difficult to spread 4. Smoother and softer skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 2. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 6. Low skin viscosity 7. Low skin viscosity 8. Little resistance to spreading 9. Difficult to spread 9. Smoother and softer skin surface 9. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 9. Rough skin 9. Very rough skin 1. Very rough skin		index finger.	3. Somewhat perceptible substance
Spread 0.5 cm3 of the preparation on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading. SM Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. SN No resistance to spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 2. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin 4. Low skin viscosity 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. No palpable skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 6. Low skin viscosity 7. Low skin viscosity 8. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 1. Increased skin viscosity			2. More perceptible substance
on the forearm skin and observe its resistance to spreading. Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 4. Little resistance to spreading 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 2. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin 4. Little resistance to spreading 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 6. Low skin viscosity 7. Low skin viscosity 8. Low skin viscosity 9. Low skin viscosity 1. Impossible to spread 1. Imp			1. Highly perceptible substance
its resistance to spreading. 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 2. Difficult to spread 3. Impossible to spread 4. Smooth, soft skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. Jimpossible to spread 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface than of the reference standard 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 2. Rough skin 3. Incomplete cover, good spreading 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface than of the reference standard 6. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 7. Difficult to spread 8. Difficult to spread 9.	D	on the forearm skin and observe	5. No resistance to spreading
SM Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. SI Impossible to spread Somother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard Rough skin Very rough skin Somother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard Rough skin Very rough skin Low skin viscosity Low skin viscosity Increased skin viscosity Increased skin viscosity			4. Little resistance to spreading
SM Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 1. Impossible to spread 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 2. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin 3. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 6. Low skin viscosity 7. Low skin viscosity 8. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity		its resistance to spreading.	3. Incomplete cover, good spreading
SM Apply 0.5 cm3 of the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 5. Very smooth, soft skin surface 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 2. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 3. Palpable skin viscosity 2. Increased skin viscosity			2. Difficult to spread
the cleaned forearm skin and after an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 3. The skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 4. Smoother and softer skin surface than of the reference standard 5. Rough skin 6. Very rough skin 7. Very rough skin 8. No palpable skin viscosity 8. Low skin viscosity 9. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity			1. Impossible to spread
an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin viscosity. Apply and spread the emulsion on the reference standard Normalization and stant of	SM		5. Very smooth, soft skin surface
standard to which the substance has not been applied. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. ST In Skin surface is as smooth as that of the reference standard 2. Rough skin 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 3. Palpable skin viscosity 2. Increased skin viscosity		an hour appraise the skin's smoothness in reference to a standard to which the substance	
2. Rough skin 1. Very rough skin 2. Rough skin 3. Very rough skin 4. Low skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 5. No palpable skin viscosity 6. Low skin viscosity 7. Palpable skin viscosity 8. Palpable skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity 9. Increased skin viscosity			
ST Apply and spread the emulsion on the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 1. Very rough skin 5. No palpable skin viscosity 4. Low skin viscosity 3. Palpable skin viscosity 2. Increased skin viscosity			2. Rough skin
the cleaned forearm skin, then press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 4. Low skin viscosity 3. Palpable skin viscosity 2. Increased skin viscosity			
press the other hand against this skin section and assess viscosity. 3. Palpable skin viscosity 2. Increased skin viscosity	ST	Apply and spread the emulsion on	5. No palpable skin viscosity
skin section and assess viscosity. 3. Palpane skin viscosity 2. Increased skin viscosity		press the other hand against this	4. Low skin viscosity
2. Increased skin viscosity			3. Palpable skin viscosity
1. High skin viscosity		skin section and assess viscosity.	2. Increased skin viscosity
			1. High skin viscosity

G	Apply 0.5 cm3 of the substance on
	the cleaned forearm skin and
	assess formation of a greasy film

- No sense of grease or film formation on the skin after application
- 4. Weak sense of greasiness, no film on the skin
- 3. Thin, greasy film on the skin after application
- 2. Greasy film on the skin directly on application
- 1. A compact, greasy film after application
- A Apply the substance on cleaned skin and assess the time of its absorption.
- 5. Very good absorption below 30 s
- 4. Good absorption from 30 s to 1 min
- 3. Average absorption from 1 to 3 min
- 2. Poor absorption from 3 to 5 min
- 1. Very poor absorption for more than 5 min

Legend:

C - consistency (density and cohesion of the tested cosmetic),

 homogeneity (behavior of the preparation when applied to the skin – absence of clots or air bubbles)

CE - cushion effect (palpability of the substance when rubbed between fingers),

distribution (facility of spreading on the skin surface),

SM - smoothing (smoothing effect when applied to the skin),

ST - viscosity (degree of palpable viscosity left on the skin),
 G - greasiness (a fat film remaining on the skin),

A - absorption (rate of absorption by the skin).

2.3.3 Determination of Viscosity

The viscosity of the emulsions were measured 24 h after manufacturing, at the speed of 10 rpm with spindle no. RV3, using a Brookfield Rheometer DV-I+. The measurements were performed at 25°C.

2.3.4 Determination of Mean Droplet Size and Droplet Size Distribution

The average droplet size and distribution were determined after 24 h using a Microtrac Particle Size Analyzer (Leeds & Northrup, Philadelphia, USA). Each measurement was repeated three times and given as the average value.

2.3.5 Dispersity Index

Dispersity index was calculated on the basis of laser diffraction droplet size measurements according to the formula:

$$k = (A - B)/C \tag{2}$$

where A, B and C are the biggest sizes of oil droplets for 90%, 10% and 50% of all particles, respectively.

2.3.6 Optimization of Parameters of Emulsion Stability

To obtain a stable emulsion optimization of parameters was carried out, using the Kateskór software, which is based on the Kleeman's method. The software was created for the Department of Technology Footwear and Tanning at the Faculty of Materials Science, Technology and Design (University of Technology and Humanities in Radom). The analysis was based on the measurement of viscosity and mean droplet size (input parameters) and as well our previous studies [9, 10] (Table 3). According to above mentioned factors a emulsion model was adopted, described by the following parameters for optimization purposes: dispersity index – 1.2, viscosity – 2800 mPa s, number of fractions – 1, average particle size – 5 μm, maximum particle size – 13 μm, minimum particle size – 0.5 μm.

Table 3 Values of viscosity and average particle size of emulsions measured after 24h from manufacturing

Emulsion	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Average particle size [µm]	3.5	10.8	3.8	3.6	5.8	3.7
Viscosity [mPa*s]	200.0	4100.0	900.0	980.0	4800.0	110.0

3 Results

The assessment of the skin hydration degree carried out using a corneometer showed that all respondents had very dry skin [7]. The measurement of skin capacitance before application of each emulsion was in the range 25.2 - 28.6 AU. Figure 1 presents the difference between the skin capacitance (before and after application) related to time from application of the emulsions (as a mean value of 3 measurements for 15 respondents).




Figure 1

Variation in time of the skin capacitance after emulsions application as a difference between the values before and after application

After 15 minutes of application of each emulsion the corneometry values, compared to the previous measurements, significantly increased. The highest values were obtained after application of emulsions II, III, IV and V. While the lowest increase was recorded after application of emulsions I and VI. Thus, it can be assumed that the higher content of hemp oil in the emulsion caused higher degree of skin hydration. Obtained results clearly indicate a favorable effect of the high amount of hemp oil on the moisturizing properties of the examined emulsions. The corneometer showed a maximum increase of skin capacitance after 15 minutes to 50 minutes from the application of the emulsions. The highest increase of skin humidification was reported after application of emulsion V, which contained the highest amount of hemp oil and was homogenized the longest time. The proper homogenization (time and speed of homogenization) is the factor, which contributes to form an appropriate particle size of the emulsion and thus better penetration of the skin [2]. After 50 minutes from emulsions application the corneometry values decreased. In contrast, the Authors [5] obtained opposite results, because the maximum hydration was observed after one hour from application.

Sensory analysis provides the organoleptic evaluation of, among others, cosmetic products and is very helpful in providing the knowledge of how they are considered by the customers [15]. According to the results of the sensory analysis, emulsions II - V were evaluated the best, taking account the average value from all rated parameters. Generally, the respondents concluded, that emulsions had a

regular, homogeneous structure, good rate of absorption, smoothing effect proper value of greasiness.

Emulsions IV and V obtained the highest average score of all assessed parameters. However, the respondents the least highly valued the following parameters: cushion effect, smoothing, smoothing, greasiness and absorption (Table 4). Remaining parameters received 5 points (Figure 2). According to respondents, emulsions I and VI were the least satisfying (average scores 3.8 and 3.6 respectively). Cushion effect, consistency and distribution were rated the lowest (Table 4, Figure 2).

Generally, for the presented emulsions, sensory analysis confirmed significance of applying larger amount of oil to the emulsion system, as well as the importance of homogenization time for this type of formulations. Emulsions V and II contained an equal amount of oil, although homogenization time of emulsion II was much shorter (1.5 min), which affected to the fact, that this emulsion (II) did not fully meet with the respondents' expectations. Emulsion (IV), which contained smaller amount of oil (30 g), but homogenized for a longer time (4.5 min) was assessed better. It was observed, that particle size depends on homogenization time and oil content, which affects the stability and quality of emulsion systems [9].

Table 4

Mean values (± sd) of sensory evaluation received as a result of the survey

	Emulsion						
Parameter	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	
CE*	3 ± 0.6	4 ± 0.7	4 ± 0.8	4 ± 0.6	5 ± 0.5	3 ± 0.9	
Н	5 ± 0.3	5 ± 0.2	5 ± 0.3	5 ± 0.2	5 ± 0.4	5 ± 0.6	
c	3 ± 1.0	5 ± 0.5	4 ± 0.4	5 ± 0.4	5 ± 0.4	3 ± 0.9	
D	3 ± 0.7	4 ± 0.7	4 ± 0.8	5 ± 0.3	5 ± 0.3	3 ± 0.4	
ST	4 ± 0.3	5 ± 0.6	4 ± 0.2	4 ± 0.4	5 ± 0.2	4 ± 0.3	
G	4 ± 0.9	4 ± 0.8	4 ± 0.4	5 ± 0.2	4 ± 0.7	4 ± 0.8	
A	4 ± 0.8	4 ± 0.5	4 ± 0.7	4 ± 0.7	4 ± 0.7	3 ± 0.5	
SM	4 ± 1.0	4 ± 0.5	4 ± 0.9	4 ± 0.9	5 ± 0.3	4 ± 0.7	
Average	3.8 ± 0.7	4.4 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.5	4.8 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 0.7	

^{*}See legend in Table II





Figure 2
Sensory profile of emulsions (average values)

The optimization of the emulsions' stability demonstrated that the emulsions with two variables (the content of oil and time of homogenization) the optimum content of hemp oil should be in the range 30-50 g, while the optimum homogenization time should be in the range of 150 s to 360 s. Thus, emulsions indicated as optimal by the software were IV (contained 30 g of oil and homogenized for 4.5 minutes) and also V (contained 50 g of oil and homogenized for 6 minutes). Figure 3 presents a detailed study of the effect of the this two variables on the parameters of the emulsions.

Generally, it was observed, that increase of oil amount caused an increase of examined parameters' values. The exception was only dispersity index. In contrast, the increase of homogenization time leaded to increase of dispersity index and maximum particle size. However, the minimum and average particle size and viscosity remained practically unchanged (Fig. 3).



Effect of input parameters on: a) viscosity b) dispersity index c) average particle size d) minimum particle size e) maximum particle size

Conclusions

Hydration and improvements of the skin condition by the manufactured emulsions have been confirmed. Emulsions containing greater concentrations of hemp oil (50 g) and homogenized longer time (6.0 min) displayed maximum skin hydration. Consistency of the emulsion was homogeneous, smooth without clotting or air bubbles and was judged acceptable by the respondents. Additionally, all preparations retained a pleasant and had a delicate green color which makes such emulsions familiar for respondents.

The results corroborated the authors' hypothesis that hemp oil can be applied as an component of cosmetic emulsions with a low hydration.

The result obtained by computer analysis indicated that the most stable emulsion system is variant V (50 g of hemp oil, homogenized 6.0 min).

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Kazimierz Pulaski University of Technology and Humanities.

References

- [1] H. A. Abdulbari, N. H. Abdurahman, Y. M. Rosli, W. K. Mahmood, H. N. Azhari, Demulsification of Petroleum Emulsions using Microwave Separation Method, International Journal of Physical Sciences 6(23), 5376-5382, 2011
- [2] M. A. Bolzinger, S. Briançon, Y. Chevalier, Nanoparticles through the Skin: Managing Conflicting Results of Inorganic and Organic Particles in Cosmetics and Pharmaceutics. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology 3(5), 463-478, 2011
- [3] E. Bouyer, G. Mekhloufi, V. Rosilio, J. L. Grossiord, F. Agnely, Proteins, Polysaccharides, and their Complexes used as Stabilizers for Emulsions: Alternatives to Synthetic Surfactants in the Pharmaceutical Field? International Journal of Pharmaceutics 436(1), 359-378, 2012
- [4] B. G. Chiari, M. A. Corrêa, M. G. J. De Almeida, V. L. B. Isaac, Cosmetics' Quality Control. INTECH Open Access Publisher (2012)
- [5] M. M. Constantin, E. Poenaru, C. Poenaru, T. Constantin, Skin Hydration Assessment through Modern Non-Invasive Bioengineering Technologies Maedica 9(1), 33, 2014
- [6] L. Gilbert, G. Savary, M. Grisel, C. Picard, Predicting Sensory Texture Properties of Cosmetic Emulsions by Physical Measurements, Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems 124, 21-31, 2013
- [7] U. Heinrich, U. Koop, M. C. Leneveu-Duchemin, K. Osterrieder, S. Bielfeldt, C. Chkarnat, H. Tronnier, Multicentre Comparison of Skin Hydration in Terms of Physical-, Physiological-and Product-Dependent Parameters by the Capacitive Method (Corneometer CM 825). International Journal of Cosmetic Science 25(1-2), 45-53, 2003
- [8] W. Kleeman, Plast und Kautschuk. Das Leder. 11, 723, 1964
- [9] M. Kowalska, A. Zbikowska, K. Śmiechowski, K. Marciniak-Lukasiak, Wpływ ilości lecytyny słonecznikowej i czasu homogenizacji na stabilność emulsji spożywczej zawierającej olej z orzechów włoskich. Żywność Nauka Technologia Jakość 21(1), 78-91, 2014

- [10] M. Kowalska, M. Paździor, K. Śmiechowski, Wykorzystanie programu komputerowego opartego na metodzie Klemmana jako narzędzia pozwalającego uzyskać stabilną emulsję spożywczą. Postępy Techniki Przetwórstwa Spożywczego 24/44, 41-47, 2014
- [11] M. Kowalska, M. Mendrycka, A. Zbikowska, S. Stawarz, Enzymatically Interesterified Fats based on Mutton Tallow and Walnut Oil Suitable for Cosmetic Emulsions. International Journal of Cosmetic Science 37(1), 82-91, 2015
- [12] M. Kowalska, M. Ziomek A. Żbikowska, Stability of Cosmetic Emulsion Containing Different Amount of Hemp Oil. International Journal of Cosmetic Science 37(4), 2015
- [13] H. Mirhosseini, C. Tan, A. R. Taherian, Effect of Glycerol and Vegetable Oil on Physicochemical Properties of Arabic Gum-based Beverage Emulsion. European Food Research and Technology 228(1), 19-28, 2008
- [14] T. Moravkova, P. Filip, The Influence of Thickeners on the Rheological and Sensory Properties of Cosmetic Lotions. Acta Polytechnica Hungarica 11, 173-186, 2014
- [15] M. L. Piana, L. Persano Oddo, A. Bentabol, E. Bruneau, S. Bogdanov, C. Guyot Declerck, Sensory Analysis Applied to Honey: State of the Art. Apidologie *35*(Suppl. 1), 26-37, 2004
- [16] J. Płocica, W. Figiel, B. Tal-Figiel, Sensory Research of Selected Products of Framona Company of the Line "Chocolate Delicacies". Polish Journal of Cosmetology 15, 134-137, 2012 (in Polish)
- [17] J. Płocica, B. Tal-Figiel, Organoleptic Properties of Selected Cosmetics Containing Raw Plant Material from Sweet Clover and Rosemary. Polish Journal of Cosmetology 12, 67-76, 2009 (in Polish)
- [18] G. Thews, E. Mutschler, P. Vaupel, Anatomie, Physiologie, Pathophysiologie des Menschen. Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft, Stuttgart, Germany, 1999
- [19] S. Van Der Graaf, C. G. P. H. Schroen, R. M. Boom, Preparation of Double Emulsions by Membrane Emulsification a Review. Journal of Membrane Science 251, 7-15, 2005
- [20] C. R. Vogl, H. Mölleken, G. Lissek-Wolf, A. Surböck, J. Kobert, Hemp (Cannabis sativa L.) as a Resource for Green Cosmetics: Yield of Seed and Fatty Acid Compositions of 20 Varieties under the Growing Conditions of Organic Farming in Austria. Journal of Industrial Hemp, 9(1), 51-68, 2004